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by CSM Jason A. Runnels

Four armored brigade combat teams (ABCTs) conducted decisive-action (DA) rotations at the National Training Center (NTC) in the past year. A trend observed during these rotations was that command sergeants major typically did not attend brigade sustainment rehearsals. However, when one unit did send its CSMs to brigade sustainment rehearsals, it struggled considerably less in synchronizing logistical support throughout the rotation. The unit’s leadership appreciated the importance of the sustainment warfighting function and used these opportunities to assist in accomplishing the brigade commander’s intent.

Arguably, sustainment does not drive the tactical-planning process, but if not fully integrated, it can impede operational reach, freedom of action and the BCT’s prolonged endurance. This article discusses the impact of the CSM’s absence from the brigade sustainment rehearsal.

Why absent
The brigade’s dissemination of the concept of support does not nullify the need for a sustainment rehearsal as implied in Army Doctrine Publication 5-0, The Operations Process. This rehearsal provides confirmation of and enhances unit leaders’ understanding of the concept of support. In spite of this, senior-enlisted observers/coaches/trainers (O/C/Ts) have routinely observed limited senior-noncommissioned-officer (NCO) attendance at rehearsals. Consistently the only exception was the brigade-support-battalion CSM. So the question is why do CSMs not prioritize the brigade sustainment rehearsal as a must-attend?

The reasons are varied. Some CSMs have insisted that time constraints and competing requirements prevented participation. Others failed to realize its relevance as compared to the combined-arms rehearsal or fires rehearsal. Units admit a heavy reliance on the brigade tactical standard operating procedure, coupled with staff backbriefs, as a replacement for sustainment rehearsals. Leaders of many of these battalions routinely train independently at home station, with one battalion at a time in the field due to several constraints. Even units that get multiple battalions into the field at once often fail to replicate the same distance, dispersion and complexities as seen at NTC. Some units remain semi-reliant on installation support facilities. Usually, the support units co-locate, making the distance so small that resupply is easy. This training leads to a misconception that units have figured out their plan to support the brigade.

Thus, sustainment synchronization and rehearsals do not receive full training at brigade level, and leaders do not clearly know their Soldiers’ and equipment’s capabilities. Within this scenario, sergeants major will not fully see their need and role in sustainment.

Impact of absence
The impact of senior NCOs’ involvement in the sustainment-planning process means that significant input by those with extensive military experience often goes unaddressed. An in-depth analysis would reveal that most units would discover few, if any, E-9s participate in the wargaming, analysis and concept-of-support production or planning. The methodology excluding CSM participation in the rehearsal process creates unnecessary logistical challenges. At minimum, the operations sergeant major should attend in the CSM’s absence.

Upon uncoiling from the tactical assembly area in preparation for the defense or movement to the line of departure, well-intentioned, uninformed leaders often mismanage brigade logistical capabilities because of this. A second order of effect can lead to hasty requests for echelons-above-brigade support.

The sustainment functions of logistics, personnel services and health services are
nothing new to career NCOs. They routinely engage in these areas throughout their career and receive education on them during their professional military education. Therefore, it is clear these leaders’ actions are not ill-intentioned but suffer from limited experience in how best to effectively assist their commander in mission command while conducting combined-arms maneuver and wide-area security. As the operational tempo increases, commanders become fully engaged conducting operations, and CSMs habitually discover they are trying to assist the battalion’s logistical operations without extensive knowledge or understanding of the sustainment plan.

Although the combined-arms battalion Field Manual (FM) 3-90.5 does not directly address the battalion CSM’s role concerning logistical responsibilities, it does indicate their need to position themselves at the point on the battlefield most likely to encounter friction, allowing them to assist their commander. Given the DA rotations to date, sustainment synchronization and execution has been the biggest friction. This point of difficulty is worthy of expounding on and can be quite different when comparing a DA to a counterinsurgency (COIN) environment. Transitioning swiftly between DA offensive and defensive operations against a complex threat and the complexity of logistics to sustain this momentum are much different than our forces are familiar with in a COIN fight. It is therefore reasonable to presume that during the Army’s last 12 years of fighting in Iraq and Afghanistan, a training or knowledge gap may have occurred. Before 2001, many CSMs were serving at the first sergeant and platoon sergeant level, while their commanders were serving in major and captain positions. Therefore, this may account for a lack of emphasis on attendance at the sustainment rehearsal.

Subordinates generally emulate their leader’s example. If the brigade CSM does not enforce attendance of the battalion CSMs at the brigade sustainment rehearsal, it is consequently no surprise that first sergeants are also routinely absent from the battalion sustainment rehearsal. FM 3-90.1, *Tank and Mechanized Infantry Company Team*, specifies the first sergeant “helps the commander to plan, coordinate and supervise all logistical activities that support the tactical mission.” If battalion CSMs in the BCT lack the firsthand knowledge solidified at the brigade’s sustainment rehearsal, their ability to advise, mentor and train their first sergeants about logistics is impeded. This results in first sergeants performing in the same manner as their CSM’s example.

Senior NCOs at platoon, company and battalion level often serve as the linchpins at logistic-resupply points (LRPs), casualty collection points, maintenance collection points and ambulance exchange points (AXPs) during operations. It is therefore imperative that CSMs have an in-depth knowledge of the brigade’s logistical plan and the impact of leading Soldiers with little or no knowledge of sustainment operations. Finally, the ability to assist the battalion executive officer and appropriately advise the commander in areas of logistics is a key part of the CSM’s leadership position. Therefore, the presence of CSMs at the brigade sustainment rehearsal cannot be overemphasized.

A plethora of information may be exposed or re-emphasized at the brigade sustainment rehearsal if executed appropriately, as outlined following. This is not an all-inclusive list, but it includes the major areas of concern consistently observed by all functional CSM O/C/Ts at NTC.

- Sustainment graphics (maneuver ammunition seal/frequency assignment subcommittee/AXP/forward-logistics element (FLE)/brigade-support battalion).
- Consumption rates based on mission, tempo and environmental considerations.
- Commander’s logistical critical-information requirements.
- Administration and logistics FM communications retransmission ability/location.
Logistical status reporting time and method (bottom-up refinement).
Casualty and equipment estimates.
Phase-line triggers for AXPs: manned or unmanned.
Medical evacuation/casualty evacuation air/ground/non-standard, security and flow of requests and reporting.
Limited illuminé and night logistical-planning considerations.
Class VIII distribution plan (Directorate of Environmental Compliance and Management).
Displacement of ambulances by type/location/triggers.
Responsibilities for evacuation from Role I to Role II treatment facilities and security requirements.
Casualty and personnel replacement.
Dirty routes/decontamination logistical requirements/decontamination locations.
Human-remains recovery plan/clear team responsibilities/Mortuary Affairs location.
Class I rations cycle.
Class III distribution/tactical-retail operations.
Class V authorization, distribution and redistro guidance; consumption reporting.
Equipment evacuation/retrograde and catastrophic recovery.
5988E flow and Class IX management.
Clarity of the definitions associated with green/red/amber reporting and days of supply.
FLE composition and priority of support.
Trash and waste management.
Emergency resupply ground/air capabilities.
Personnel, equipment and capability of the field transportation/combat trans/company trans or combined-arms-battalion support area.
LRPs (tailgate/service station/reduction and suicide prevention).
Consequence-management packages: content/location.
Pre-staged logistical packages: contents/location.
Forward Army and refueling points location/capacity.
Religious support.

All these are necessary for the brigade’s logistics common operating picture to remain relevant and to allow the brigade commander to make informed decisions.

Some of the impact associated with not covering intricate details in the brigade sustainment rehearsal we commonly see here at NTC are:

- Poor backwards planning;
- High number of “died of wounds”;
- Loss of combat power;
- Over- and under-resupply of Class III;
- Missed link-ups at LRPs;
- Inaccurate logistics-status reports;
- Class V shortfall by type;
- Unknown disposition of friendly forces;
- Fratricide;
- Slow personnel replacement; and
- Loss of communication with support elements.

Most units rely on emergency resupply as the answer to their lack of coordination, synchronization and understanding of the concept of support. As fatigue and high optempo take their toll, sustainment suffers greatly when not rehearsed.

**Conclusion**

The areas addressed in the brigade sustainment rehearsal clearly affect senior NCOs’ abilities to take care of Soldiers. This is a brigade-level-down change in attitude that, if the brigade CSM embraces it, will influence to the lowest level of NCOs. This is not to imply that having battalion CSMs present will solve all the brigade’s logistical issues, but this knowledge will empower them to effectively assist NCOs and staff members inside their organization. By making the battalion CSMs part of the solution in synchronizing the brigade’s sustainment
plan, it helps maximize the brigade’s internal capabilities. Staffs who understand that the commander values the CSM’s opinion in sustainment matters can leverage this in productivity. This will allow them to influence the development of their unit’s brigade sustainment rehearsals and anticipate logistical requirements.
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**Acronym Quick-Scan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AXP</td>
<td>ambulance exchange point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCT</td>
<td>brigade combat team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COIN</td>
<td>counterinsurgency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>decisive action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLE</td>
<td>forward-logistics element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM</td>
<td>field manual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM</td>
<td>frequency modulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRP</td>
<td>logistics-resupply point</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCO</td>
<td>noncommissioned officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTC</td>
<td>National Training Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O/C/T</td>
<td>observer/coach/trainer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>