ERRATA SHEET
Supplemental Environmental Assessment for the Digital Multi-Purpose Range Complex (DMPRC) Vegetation Removal and Range Modifications
April 01, 2008

This Errata Sheet includes revisions to the Supplemental Environmental Assessment (SEA) for the Digital Multi-Purpose Range Complex (DMPRC) Vegetation Removal and Range Modifications, dated March 2008. Further review of the SEA and comments received necessitate correcting and clarifying information presented in the SEA. None of the information provided in this Errata Sheet results in a significant change to the environmental conditions or the project activity, the analysis of the potential environmental impacts, or the mitigation proposed; therefore an additional or revised environmental analysis is not required. Changes and responses to the SEA are indicated in italics.

Section	Changes Made/Responses
Section 3.5.2.2, Federally Protected Species; Env. Consequences; Alt II, Vegetation Removal	Text replaced to indicate outcome of informal consultation with the US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS): *"Informal consultation with the USFWS has determined that the proposed vegetation removal will not further impact RCWs beyond the scale addressed in the BO (John Doresky, personal communication, 2008)."*
3.5.2.3, Federally Protected Species; Env. Consequences; Alt III, Vegetation Removal and Range Improvements	Last sentence replaced to indicate outcome of informal consultation with the USFWS: *"However, if a decision is made in the future to pursue this alternative, further informal consultation with the USFWS will determine whether orientation of targetry and firing will affect RCWs beyond the scale addressed in the BO (personal communication, Linda Veenstra, 2008)."*
3.9.1, Migratory Birds; Affected Environment	Text was revised to clarify Benning's role in gathering migratory bird information: *"Fort Benning has cooperated with federal, State, and private organizations in gathering information on migratory bird species in this region and will continue to do so as opportunities become available."*
3.9.2.2, Migratory Birds; Env. Consequences; Alt II, Vegetation Removal	To avoid confusion between language associated with the Endangered Species Act and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, the following phrases were deleted: • *"...of which 221 acres currently represents low quality habitat due to fragmentation."* • *"Lastly, the vegetation proposed for removal is not considered critical habitat."* • *"Also, although further habitat fragmentation may occur..."*
3.9.2.2, Migratory Birds; Env. Consequences; Alt II, Vegetation Removal	Last sentence was clarified to: "Therefore, selection of this alternative is not expected to affect the population of any particular species as a whole, *and the impacts to migratory birds are expected to be minor*

	adverse."
3.9.2.2 - 3.9.2.3, Migratory Birds; Env. Consequences; Alt II and Alt III, Vegetation Removal; Vegetation Removal and Range Improvements	The USFWS expressed a concern over harvesting timber during migratory bird breeding periods. *Coordination with the USFWS was initiated in March 2008. Fort Benning will continue to coordinate with USFWS to develop appropriate conservation measures to minimize potential impacts to migratory birds prior to vegetation remova lowland areas.*
6.1, References and Persons/Agencies Consulted, Persons and Agencies Consulted	Person added: *Doresky, John, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, West Georgia ES Sub-Office, Fort Benning, GA, December 2007 – March 2008.*
Throughout the Document	The word "streambank" was replaced with "*streambuffer*".

Point of contact regarding this Errata Sheet: Mr. Joseph Ranson, Environmental Protection Specialist, Environmental Management Division, Building 6, Room 310, Fort Benning, Georgia 31905-5122.



Keith R. Lovejoy
COL, U.S. Army
Garrison Commander
Fort Benning, Georgia